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1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has been declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020. It is disheartening that, as of December 2020, around 67 million confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 1.5 million deaths, are reported to WHO [1]. This COVID-19 has continued to be prevalent even in the end of 2020, significantly affecting the people’s lifestyle and their physical and mental well-being [2].

Depression is one of the common mental disorders found from people during the COVID-19 period. It is a leading cause of disability worldwide and a major contributor to the overall global burden of disease [1]. Traditionally, depression is often diagnosed by a psychological doctor interviewing a patient face-to-face via referring to clinical depression criteria. However, in general, people are somehow ashamed to talk to a psychological doctor or unaware of depression, which leads to more than 70% of people in the early stages of depression would not consult doctors, letting depression deteriorate their conditions [3].

Instead of seeking professional assistance, people are increasingly relying on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter, to express their emotions as well as their personal statuses.

During our time that we spent on social media, we observed that was a sign of increased depressive symptoms within our social media feed (Twitter). This observation … (need details here)

This study exploits data collected from (how many?) user profiles and around 100,000 tweets. Several machine learning classifier techniques are utilized to identify the depression level, which include support vector machines (SVM), Naive Bayes (NB) and Random Forest (RF).

This paper aims to see if there exist new keywords or features from the depressions related tweets during the COVID-19 period and compare them to existing keywords and features that indicate depression of users.

Our key contribution of this paper is a time series of tweets related to depression during the covid-19 period. Specifically, providing a visualization of the number of depressions related tweets on Twitter during the particular COVID-19 period (April to September). The tweets that indicate depression are classified by our Machine Learning model that we trained. In addition to that, after completing classification on tweets using our machine learning models, we are doing feature extraction on the tweets that are classified as depressed during the COVID-19 period.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II provides background and related works on depression detection. Section III presents which datasets are used and how datasets are preprocessed prior to applying the machine learning algorithm. Section IV and V describe what features are extracted and which machine learning algorithms are used to detect depression from the datasets. Section VI provides time series analysis (Need more details here). Then, we conclude our study and provide a direction for future work in section VII.

1. Background and Related work

Efforts to analyze depression of an individual have existed much earlier than the appearance of the Internet. There are many widely-accepted scales and criteria have been developed based upon the user study or questionnaire survey. In the areas of medicine and psychology, several questionnaire-based measures for rating depression in individuals have been proposed [4, 5, 6, 7]. For instance, Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D), Beck’s Depression Scale (BDI) and Zung’s Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) estimate the severity of depression in individuals from the self-reported answers to 20 questions [4, 6, 8]. The questions either have several options aligned with different scores or require participants to evaluate the severity of their circumstances. Then, the level of depression is determined according to the scale of the total score [9]. In general, however, obtaining data through a survey or questionnaire is often expensive and sometimes time-consuming.

Nowadays, it is commonly seen that almost everyone has an active account in at least one social media platform, such as Facebook and Twitter, allowing a large amount of data to be generated in a short period of time. For example, Facebook has about 2.7 billion active users and 350 million posts are uploaded in a single day [Footer 1]. Twitter owns around 340 million active users and 500 million tweets (user posts on Twitter) are generated in a single day, as of December 2020 [Footer 2]. Through the use of social media’s own API, such as Graph API Explorer (for Facebook) and Twitter Search API, researchers can easily access and obtain large scale data of the users. As the large scale data is available publicly due to the presence of social media, approaches that use such data for depression analysis are seen to be compelling to researchers and thereby providing motivation to analyze the online behaviors of depressed users.

(Tendency to post about their emotion to social media)

Moreno et al. propose that college students experiencing depressive mood show symptoms consistent with depression on Facebook and place greater investment in social media as a communication outlet because it could be viewed as a safe and indirect outlet for their emotions [10].

(Analyze depressed and non-depressed users)

Park et al. explored the use of language in describing depressive moods by utilizing real time moods captured from Twitter users and analyzed the differences between Twitter users with and without depression by analyzing their activities [11]. In their later work, a similar analysis is done by analyzing data from Facebook [12].

(Accuracy of prediction using SVM)

De Choudhury et al. demonstrate the estimation accuracy that could be achieved by utilizing activities on Twitter to predict depression of the users [13]. They obtained training data for machine learning by crowdsourcing (the practice of engaging a crowd or group for a common goal). Then, models that could be used to predict risk of depression were identified from several features obtained from the records of user activity on Twitter tweets by using Support Vector Machine (SVM). The result of their experiments show that depression can be recognized among Twitter users with an average accuracy of 70% [13]. These approaches are also applied to predict mothers’ postpartum depression (the depression after giving birth) from Facebook and Twitter as well [13, 14].

(Bag of Word and feature extraction)

Tsugawa et al. showed that the word frequencies are useful for identifying depression and investigated how useful the various features extracted from Twitter user history are for recognizing depression, and the degree of accuracy with which the presence of active depression could be detected by using these features [7, 16].

(Bag of Word, SVM, DT and NB. Found that NB performed better than the other classifiers)

Nadeem et al. employed a Bag of Words (BOW) approach which utilizes word occurrence frequencies to quantify the content of a tweet (i.e. putting all words within a bag and measuring how commonly each word appeared). Then they used four types of binary classifiers: linear SVM classifier, decision tree (DT), Naïve Bayes (NB) algorithm, and logistic regression. They found that NB algorithm produced an accuracy of 81% and precision of 0.86, achieving better performance than the other classifiers [15].

Beyond the technical considerations and challenges, there are also ethical considerations to be taken into account when proposing the use of social media data to judge levels of depression. The use of the large volume of data available from social media platforms such as Twitter has potential to aid in early detection of depression [18], however there is still doubt as to the ethically appropriate use of this data [17].

Mikal et. all found that many users of Twitter did not understand the permanence of their posts, as well as either a poor or total lack of understanding of the data tools that could be used to analyze their activity on the platform [17]. There are also concerns about privacy and consent, as often users are not informed that their data can and will be used in this way [19].

In this study we are considering purely the technical aspects of social media data analysis for the purpose of identifying depression. This paper should not be taken to be a statement of our position on the question of the ethics of putting ours or similar methods into practice.
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